ALI Board of Directors’ Meeting  
IUPUI Library, Room 2115G  
February 12th, 2013

Present:
Daniel J. Bowell (Presiding), Susan Clark (Vice President), Cheryl Truesdell (Secretary, via Phone), David Peter (Treasurer), John Fribley, David Lewis, Rick Provine, Brad Eden (phone), Ed Edmonds (phone), Diane Walker, Alberta Comer, Matthew Shaw (Resource Sharing Committee), James Mullins, Brenda Johnson, Catherine Pellegrino, (Information Literacy Committee, phone)

Guests: Roberta Brooker, State Librarian, Indiana State Library and Steven Schmidt, Library Development Office Supervisor, ISL

Absent:
John Lamborn, Frank Cervone

Call to order and review of the agenda:
Bowell called the meeting to order at 10:02 a.m.

Minutes:
Peter moved and Walker seconded a motion to approve the minutes of December 4th, 2012. The minutes were approved as presented.

Treasurer’s report (David Peter, Treasurer):
Peter presented the Treasurer’s report. Mention was made that twelve scholarships for the ACRL conference recipients were awarded at $600 per person for a $7,200 total.

A question was asked as to whether the amount on reserve would affect 501 (c)(3) status. This question would need further inquiry.

Current balance as of December 31, 2012 is $102,894.04. The Treasurer’s report was accepted as presented.

Committee report: Information Literacy Committee (Catherine Pellegrino, St. Mary’s College, Chair, phone):
The HAIL professional development working group wants to promote its work among academic librarians. A LibGuide has been created for this purpose. HAIL working group has lost members, and two working groups, Outreach and Promotion and Marketing, have been merged.

Pellegrino reported that Clarence Maybee of the ILC proposed regional workshops for academic librarians beginning fall 2013. The intent would be to share ideas among instruction/IL librarians. These sessions would be different from ILF meetings in that the attendees would be academic librarians and the topics cover library instruction.

Board members thought these workshops a good idea and that funding was available to support these sessions—perhaps with the cost of expert presenters. The Board would welcome a formal proposal from the ILC in April.
Committee report: Resource Advisory Committee (Andy Langhurst, University of Notre Dame, Chair—not present—Bowell led discussion)
The e-Resource Rendezvous scheduled for March 15th 2013 will focus on streaming video resources and licensing agreements. Online registration for this event was recently opened.

LYRASIS has implemented SharePoint access for renewals, invoicing, and related information. Maura Hadaway scheduled SharePoint training sessions for library staff and a number of sessions have been given.

ACS renewal has been completed for 2013.

ALI RAC has confirmed ALI/LYRASIS contractual relationship for American Psychological Association products through EBSCO.

The RAC will review the benefits of LYRASIS institutional membership for ALI members not currently LYRASIS members.

During discussion, Comer mentioned she is a candidate for the LYRASIS Board of Trustees, 2013-2016.

Consideration of a funding request for participation in the ICOLC conference, Toronto, Canada
Bowell put forward the proposal for Andrea Langhurst, Chair of the ALI Resource Advisory Committee, to attend the International Coalition of Library Consortia conference in Toronto, April 21-24, 2013. The Chair of the RAC has attended this conference in previous years.

During discussion, a request was made that a written report about the conference be submitted to the Board. The Board agreed that a report of the conference should be submitted and approved this funding as given in the proposal.

Committee report: Resource Sharing Committee (Matthew Shaw, Ball State University, Chair)
Matthew Shaw reported the planning for the conference on March 8th, “Connecting the Community”—partnership with the ISL. The intention is to have a more interactive conference. Sessions and presentations will include an InfoExpress Q and A, open discussion, e-books and licensing, PDA issues, remote circulation, etc.

Cost of the conference is estimated at $5,225 of which half is to be covered by the ISL. Shaw reports that the RSC and the ISL are exploring ways to further collaboration.

Progress on the Fulfillment project has been slow.

Committee report: Scholarly Communication Committee (Cheryl Truesdell, Chair) (some brief discussion occurred before lunch)
This committee is in formation. The first meeting will be March 4th. Members were sought from the various ALI constituencies. Open access initiatives will be one focus. A request was made that the committee further clarify the specific areas of open access the committee would focus on.

An event for Open Access week may be planned [October 21-25, 2013].
ACRL Scholarly Communication “Road Show” grant proposal was submitted and a response is pending.

Review of ALI ACRL Scholarship process and persons selected (Dan Bowell)
Bowell reported that Peter and Clark were a part of the ACRL conference scholarship recipient selection process. Twelve recipients in three institutional categories were randomly selected from 57 total applicants to receive $600 toward conference costs. All awards have been accepted. [The conference is scheduled for April 10-13, 2013 in Indianapolis.]

During discussion, Mullins reported that ACRL conference registration is ahead of previous years’ conferences.

Web-site changes - social media information (David Peter)
Peter asks that libraries with social media contact information have this added to the ALI member directory. This information would be helpful to promote ALI events.

The Board agreed this information would be helpful. Members should direct this information to the Secretary to have it added to the ALI website members’ directory.

Update from the Indiana State Library (Roberta Brooker, State Librarian, Indiana State Library) – (Steve Schmidt, Library Development Office Supervisor, also present.)

Brooker was invited to provide an update of ISL activities. She asked whether the new InfoExpress courier has improved services. Schmidt reported that courier service has increased in cost but is still comparatively low. Schmidt hopes that InfoExpress service will improve soon in that shorter runs will no longer bring items to Indianapolis for redistribution.

New LSTA grants may be unfunded until state funding is known. WorldCat funding will not be cut. INSPIRE funding still available. Laura Bush 21st Century Librarian grant funding might not continue.

ALI and ISL partner to provide EBSCOhost’s Academic Search Premier. ALI membership has paid through LYRASIS, but this money has not gone forward for payment. ISL money will not be released until the ALI share is available.

Brooker observed that the ISL is doing professional workshops for librarians throughout the state, and there may be opportunities for collaboration with ALI with regard to professional development.

Brooker was asked whether the ISL is involved in assisting English language acquisition through public libraries. The ALI Information Literacy Committee might consider involvement in this area.

An ALI shared print task force is interested in funding a study to identify potential withdrawal of print materials, especially among small and mid-sized libraries for better space utilization. There may be potential for ISL support of this study and for the ISL serving as a depository.

ISL will take a lead in observing and commemorating Indiana’s coming bicentennial, 2016.

The new ISL foundation is in formation. Foundation funds might especially support genealogy, talking-books, and other initiatives.
Brooker was asked what ALI means for the ISL. Brooker hoped for more collaboration, especially with regard to information literacy.

ISL provides a portal for information resources for state legislators.

Bowell said there was interest in strengthening health sciences resources in INSPIRE. Suggestions regarding INSPIRE can be sent to Schmidt.

Brooker was asked about the ISL leadership program of the summer of 2012, and Brooker responded that the program had had a positive impact. Peter was a participant and endorsed the program. This program will continue into 2013.

Shaw thanked ISL for the collaboration on the resource sharing conferences.

Schmidt described an ongoing program to digitize Indiana newspapers. Truesdale asked for a list of these newspapers. A list is forthcoming. Access to these newspapers after digitization may be through Indiana Memory. Lewis IUPUI has a grant to digitize Indianapolis newspapers excluding the Star.

Indiana State Library Report

Bowell, one area ALI needs is science and technology and health databases from Inspire. Would ALI be willing to help support these databases.

How did the leadership program go? Went very well. Everything she heard was positive. Nice mix and good opportunity to talk with a variety of librarians. Plan to offer again. Please let ALI know and we will advertise. How many academic librarians attend? Recommendations for next year: Mix was ideal, Maureen was a champion for leadership. Matthew Shaw thanked the ISL for the support of the Resource Sharing Conference. Helps everyone all types of library. Hope the FulFillment will materialize as well.

Interested in the study on shared print? Digitization – history of the bicentennial will be the emphasis. Primary resources for the civil war to work with Library of Congress on digitizing.

100,000 pages of Indiana newspapers. A year ahead – 200,000 late March. Grant Batch 7 – Indiana Tribune – first German language newspaper Chronicling in America in free access and Indiana Memory. Indiana Newspapers -. There will be a second round. Will hear in June. IUPUI has grant to do Indianapolis newspapers not covered by the ISL grant. How can we get others get incorporated. ContentDM in the background. Viewing software for viewing multiple collections.. VuFind and Viridium?

Steve working in a candy store.

Will follow up on an issue?
Invited us to have a meeting at ISL.

Task Force for Shared Print Explorations (David Lewis)
Good conversation with…clarifies how his service works. What is it we want to accomplish.
Pricing for studies done in other states is
Identify the institutions collections that you want to examine. Number of bib records, items, etc
and what you want to know. How many copies in the state, etc. You define all the collections
you want to compare to for making

API against OCLC database to extract data. Costs probably .03 cents per item. 5 million
volumes - $150,000 to do the first part of the study. Finds holdings in the areas you specify.

Each library decides what they want to do. Iterative process. Tweak the data to answer the
questions local institutions needs. Initial survey last fall, most did not want to invest a lot of
money. Some contribution from ALI should be

Cost of the second part to do this analysis off the database. More expensive than the first part. 2-
300,000. It is a ½ million dollars investment. What are we wanting to accomplish and are there
ways to do this without spending 500,000. Can we approach in another way – say using the ALF
as a secure repository to weigh decisions against. Weeding books is an expensive proposition.
Could there be a simpler way to do this. OCLC may be offering this service. Big ARLs will
preserve the print collections. ALF is a service copy. ALF has capacity for 6 million? What is
in ALF that they can discard at little risk. Pull the task force back together and determine what
strategy is best. And how many people are actively interested. Ball State, Indiana State and
regional campuses? Ball State would be interested. ISU maybe interested. Can’t be a free ride.
Credible alternative strategy. Cooperative collection development. Accreditor. Want to go to
the shelf and handle the material. Taylor – we don’t need the space now, but what about the
future. Sooner or later expensive warehousing will be called into question. Helps us to frame a
different way of thinking. **What has Jim doing at Purdue?** How much space can you give up?
Need to play this out in more detail. What kind of money are they willing to spend. How
successful has these projects been at other groups? Mid-sized colleges and universities. Each
one took responsibility for a shared collection. Complicated and expensive MOUs.
Preservations conditions. Long-term preservation memory. Facilities are not at preservation
level of the ALF. Purdue does not have a facility that is preservation based. ALI might have a
formal arrangement with ALI and pay them. Contribute to IU to facilitate sharing. CIC
collection would not circulate, beyond CIC. (journal runs).
Journals are a different matter. Many have already dumped JSTOR.
David will reconvene the group. Brad thinks the cost is prohibitive. Do our own project.
Valparaiso has a retrieval system that could help small and medium-sized libraries repository

******************************************************************************************

Lunch

**Report from the Shared Print Task Force (David Lewis)**

Lewis reported that an initial contact with SCS (Sustainable Collection Services) services was made. SCS
does collection analysis to provide date to inform collection management decisions, primarily as a means
to re-purpose space. Mid-sized academic libraries among ALI members might have the most interest in
this study. A study of this type could cost $150K in its first phase. The second phase might be more.
Lewis mentioned that the Task Force needs to determine what the project needs to achieve, identify participating institutions collections and target collections for comparison, consider the role of IU's ALF depository for last copy access, and explore cheaper options for collections analysis.

**Activation of the Nominating Committee (Susan Clark)**

Clark reported that the nominating committee needs a chair; the chair of this committee is a board member. After some discussion, Clark agreed to chair the nominating committee another year.

The various constituencies will put forward their selections for Board members, and these selections need to be submitted to the Secretary by the end of February. The nominating committee selects the candidates for the at-large member.

**Update regarding the Strategic Plan Revision (Susan Clark)**

After two meetings of discussion, the planning task force has come to the Board with questions about the ALI mission and organizational capacity. Questions included: What is ALI’s core purpose? Is ALI to be big or small? Should these questions be put to the membership?

Discussion included a review of the how ALI was formed and how ALI efforts with regard to resource purchasing, information literacy, resource sharing, and professional development have been productive and noteworthy. A new plan would emphasize the continuation and strengthening of current activities.

**Annual Meeting ideas, discussion, and naming of a planning group (Dan Bowell)**

Possible topics included the design of library websites and how user behavior informs design.

No formal meeting planning group was named. However, Lewis and Provine will make contact with the researcher that assisted with the new design of the Purdue Library website and consider other options for the morning session of the members meeting.

**IUPUI University Library and SLIS Joint Conference on Research, Scholarship, and Practice -- March 22, 2013**

**2013 ULIB/SLIS Joint Conference and the Joint Conference on Digital Libraries @JCDL2013 Digital Libraries at the Crossroads Indianapolis, Indiana, USA, July 22-26, 2013 – 2:55 p.m.**

ALI might support registration costs at some level.

**Next Board meeting: April 2, 2013 – 10:00 a.m. (IUPUI – University Library – Room 2115E)**

Adjournment – 3:09 p.m.

****************************

**Strategic Plan Task Force (Susan Clark, Chair)**

**Strategic Plan Questions and Annual Meeting**
Susan presented the Plan. Every time we begin to tackle the plan there are a lot of unanswered questions that the Boards need to address. The question is what is ALI going to be? ALI Board should take the time to undertake the process of studying and determining the organizational capacity of the ALI.

PALNI went to Lily foundation to renew a request for finishing the online cataloge. Statewide project might be of interest to the endowment. INCOLSA put forward a similar proposal. Academic libraries were unhappy with INCOLSA efforts on behalf of academic libraries. We thought we would have a lot of money that we never did. Did do some consortial pricing. We do have a community that works pretty well. Endowment forced the issue to create ALI. Sails were built with hopes wind would fill them, but smaller sails. INCOLSA had one library, one vote and so we didn’t have a lot of authority. Not a cost-effective avenue for academics.

Bowell questioned—what are the essential questions and are we the ones that should answer the questions. Should the full membership answer these questions. Lewis, effective as a coordinating agency, reasonably effective. How might we use that in effective way? Accomplishments do matter. Maybe we think we need to be more ambitious than we need to be? If ALI didn’t exist would something else fill the void? ACS is best tangible example of how we benefit from working together. ARL participation was necessary as well. Lewis – shared acquisition and shared collection development. Going forward we can leverage print resources with collaboration. Fact of the forum matters. Governance is the right mix. Shaw - Keywords are community forum and conversation. Old plan had concrete goals, many not realized. Strategic planning in traditional sense may not apply. Lewis points out the professional development efforts that have been successful. Other conversation piece to have the ISL know who they have to talk to about academic issues. Fractured as a community if we do not have ALI. Plan that we want to be able to talk to our stakeholders, talk to our library constituencies. Took organizational structure followed the INSPIRE model.

Bowell – this is the 10th annual meeting this year. History is helpful. Trying to go off the public document, not the reality of history. Ambitions do not need to be a lot more than what we are doing.

Show what Jim is doing with space a good program. Leverage what we know to help others. We can do selective statewide programs in ALI.

Jim, played an important role for directors. We are expected to participate. What about staff. Annual meeting we all come together. Maybe we should have a meeting in the fall that has a particular focus. We need to extend the conversation to the membership. Hearing the issues from all academic institutions and higher education. ALI is unique among states. Richer opportunity for our peers to share. Lewis, we don’t ask a lot of ALI membership. Commitment is not huge but appropriate. Eastern states the public and privates do not work together. Midwest is better at that.

Susan – my sense, overall contentment with size and governance structure. Who is our protagonist? MCLS – Diane – report- interested in how to work with Indiana. Had a meeting with the ISL. Start of a thaw. No animosity on the MCLS side. How to define that relationship with MCLS? What should that relationship be? Mostly all Michigan. Melcat could be an option
for Indiana if there is a thaw???. Melcat as a paradigm. Lewis- Still would have to have LSTA money to balance the contributions. They are sitting on a good amount of Indiana money. Bowell – some self understanding. In accord that ALI as it is right now should not change significantly. Do we need a startegic plan which implies ambitions.

Lewis - State our current mission and vision and some things that we want to continue to accomplish and a date to take another look. Could have some ambitions around the reserve funds.

Bowell - Some scope of activities and hinting at future activities.
Jim – Mission, goals, things you work toward, and two or three objectives. They don’t have to be so specific. Slide in accomplishments as we go along. Need a plan as an organization. Does not need to be so detailed. Does not need to be an operational plan now. Keep it simple stupid so everyone can understand it.

Annual Meeting
Do we want the strategic plan the focus of the annual meeting. Membership will
Conversation about what Purdue is doing. We want to be invited to Purdue. How to increase success rate in foundation classes. Taught in huge lecture halls. Could they be offered in smaller classes. Want it done in 4 years. How do we change the teaching model. Active learning. Working at round tables. Square footage is increased. Problem no spaces on campus to accommodate. A librarian B858 large room. Can we give up this space to help them. Turned that over to the team. Such a success. Identified two new areas for classrooms when not used for classrooms they are study areas. High tech. Allocated money and paid for all the renovation. Got money to pay for furniture in that room. Match furniture budget $425,000 They offered $220,000 and now Jim has to come up with the $220,000. Showed the statistics about the use of the library which is way up. Consultant who studied pedagogy and impact on study space. Project growth of active learning. Justification indicating that they are committed to this teaching. Students working in teams. Faculty are doing a longitudinal study on impact on the students. Get the Rochester study to come in as well. Fascinating for all of us. Who is in charge of the annual meeting. Last year John Lamborn was in charge.

Bowell can you commit to this topic. Process, results, some non-library that helped move it forward, pedagogy. Came out of the Provost office. Separate in the fall. All consuming right now and may not have time to really do this. Jim would like to do something in the fall and have it at Purdue.

Lewis – could build on this. Released a new website January 1st. Industrial engineer human computer interaction. Studied use of the website and designed the website around his research. Have had some complaints. Very informative. Research area is this area. 85% of our serials budget on electronic serials and we don’t know how people interact with our resources. Charming and interesting. Could be useful to others.

He is working with the anthropologist. Get both of them. Using research in making library decisions. Meeting is May 9th. Not unreasonable to pay for talent to come.
Susan spoke to librarians better than Nancy. Nancy talks about the research process. Susan talks about how she took the research and applied it.

Bowell – a handful of institutions in Illinois is also doing a ethnographic study. Should we contact her to see if she is available? Might be able to get somebody from Illinois Wesleyan. David Lewis and Rick.. 1 ½ hours or 2 hours. Time for break and break out sessions. Two speakers in that vane. Small group interaction. More opportunity to meet people. Positive comments last year on small group meetings. Integrate interest group topics for lunch. Lewis will line up people.

What is the future of libraries in Indiana? What is the education of students in Indiana for MLIS.

Lewis – better to have the Board

Reminders:
March 8, 2013: Discovery to Delivery at Ball State
March 15, 2013: E-resource Rendezvous
March 22, 2013: ULIB/SLIS Conference
April 2, 2013: ALI Board Meeting
July 22-26, 2013: We will support the Joint Conference on Digital Libraries: Digital Libraries at the Crossroads. Did we take action to support this conference? Need to verify this. Can we support people attending. Registration is $400-$500.