Academic Libraries of Indiana Board of Directors Meeting Minutes 2011-2012

(With modest redaction to remove select proprietary information. Contact the ALI Board President or Secretary for further information.)
ALI Board of Directors Meeting
IUPUI University Library
August 9, 2011


Call to Order: Bowell called the meeting to order at 10:07 am.

Introductions and Welcome of New Board members: Bowell reviewed and asked for additions to the agenda.

Bowell recognized the service of Arthur Hafner as past president, noting that Arthur has raised the bar very high for future presidents.

Eileen Saner introduced new member Diane Parr Walker, University of Notre Dame. Other library leadership changes within ALI member libraries includes Brad Eden, Valparaiso University, David Peter, Vincennes University, Anita Gray, Huntington University, Richard Bernier, Rose Hulman, and Martha Niemeier, University of Southern Indiana.

Minutes: The minutes of the April 4, 2011 and the May 12, 2011 Board of Director’s meetings were reviewed. The May 12th minutes were corrected to show Susan Clark as Vice President and Cheryl Truesdell as Secretary. The minutes were approved by affirmation.

Treasurer’s Report: Mary Persyn presented the budget report as of June 30, 2011. She noted that ALI ended the year in the black adding to the amount of the reserve which is now over $80,000. She reported that ALI has hired Jennifer Scott as its new fiscal agent. Ms. Scott was ALI’s fiscal agent under INCOLSA, and opened her own business when INCOLSA offices closed. Mary also noted that bank documents need to be signed by the new ALI President and Secretary. John Lamborn asked whether members of the ALI Board need to sign a conflict of interest form. Mary will ask that Ms. Scott provide this document to the Board for its signature.

Committee Report: Resource Advisory Committee (George Stachokas, Chair):

George noted that Kate Moore, Electronic Resources Librarian at IU Southeast and Ken Gibson, Director of the Duggan Library at Hanover College have joined the RAC.

George reported on meetings and negotiations with Lyrasis for a new 3-year contract. Currently the ALI Lyrasis membership fee also includes individual membership for ALI libraries. In the new contract Lyrasis expects individual ALI libraries to pay Lyrasis membership fees in addition to the ALI organization fee. George reports that he is continuing to negotiate lower fees and is making progress.

RAC has asked Lyrasis to improve the data that it provides ALI. Lyrasis will replace ALI Wiki with MS
Sharepoint to improve overall communications and tracking of renewals.

**E-book subcommittee:** Kevin Petsche, subcommittee chair, convened the first meeting in July. He recognized Purdue for its help with information on e-book vendors and business models. David Lewis pointed out that we may be able to get LSTA funds to become part of the Evergreen Overdrive project. While the Overdrive e-book list is primarily leisure reading, ALI members agreed that it should be added to the e-book vendor investigation. Jim Mullins also noted that some university presses are coming together in 2012 to offer e-books, so we should be talking to them as well.

**E-Resources Rendezvous Planning Committee:** E-Resources Rendezvous 2011 will be held at IUPUI University Library on Friday, October 7th. The conference will include presentations by Lyrasis and four other vendors, EBSCO, ProQuest, Sage, and Project Muse. The primary theme will be e-books and the secondary theme will be LIS resources.

In order to encourage ALI member library participation, the RAC put forward a budget proposal of $3,250 to support the 2011 E-Resource Rendezvous. This includes lunch and breaks for 100 participants, travel for LYRASIS personnel and lodging for conference planners. Efforts will be made to encourage collection development and other librarians to attend. This amount would fund an expanded conference.

Eileen Saner spoke in support of the request, noting that this is how ALI monies should be spent. The funding proposal was passed by affirmation.

**Licensing Subcommittee:** Lori Duggan, subcommittee chair, is investigating model licensing language for e-books, including resource sharing, ILL, e-book technology that is accessible on any device, and cooperative collection development. RAC is investigating acquisition of professional resources for ALI members such as LISA and SAGE research methods online.

After the RAC report, Jim Mullins asked about the content of the Lyrasis core collection that is being negotiated. A potential list of the Lyrasis core collection was requested to be sent out to ALI members so that they do not duplicate subscriptions obtained independently from Lyrasis. ALI members will also be notified of anything new that is added to the core list through negotiations with Lyrasis. RAC will also try to obtain e-book contracts under the ALI core.

**Committee report:** **Information Literacy Committee (Susan Clark, Chair):** Susan distributed a report on the Information Literacy Summit for Project HAIL. Thirty-eight people attended the Summit including officers from eight library organizations in the state. All of the library organizations represented gave presentations as well as the Department of Education. Libraries expressed a lot of enthusiasm and interest in this project. Jim Mullins noted that at a recent ARL board meeting the speaker focused on commitment of university presidents to undergraduate education and recognized the role of the library in undergraduates education. Jim Mullins also noted that a Fulbright programs scholar and noted leader on Information Literacy from Australia will be working with Purdue and IVY Tech during the fall of 2012.
Cheryl noted the growing number of dual credit courses being offered also emphasizes the need for greater information literacy collaboration between high school and academic librarians.

**Committee Report: Resource Sharing Committee (Matthew Shaw, Chair):** The RSC has sent out an invitation to 160 ALI contacts to join the ALI-RESOURCE-SHARE-L listserv in hopes of developing a stronger resource-sharing community in Indiana.

In April, Jim Corridan formed the Indiana State Library Resource Sharing Committee. ALI has a strong presence on this new Committee. Jim Corridan is interested in holding a joint conference with ALI’s RSC in 2012. The Indiana State library has a strong commitment to the Evergreen ILS system and would like academic libraries to join the consortia of 90 public and school libraries using Evergreen. Jim Corridan would like a list of ILS systems used by academic libraries in the state hoping to find ways to connect these ILS systems with Evergreen. David Lewis volunteered to gather this information and supply a list to the State Library.

The RSC Assessment Survey revealed that interlibrary loan units at many ALI libraries are small and do not have access to training and/or in-house technological support. The RSC proposed a regional training and support system that would connect volunteers from ALI libraries to provide practical and technical guidance, training, and support to libraries in the region. The RSC is looking for lead institutions willing to serve as regional facilitators for the program and to take part in a pilot program.

Matthew introduced the proposed new language for the RSC charge, and asked for formal approval from the board. There was a brief discussion of the impact of remote storage on resource sharing of print collections. Jim Mullins noted that CIC research libraries are currently developing a model and protocol for sharing from remote storage collections and recommended that ALI look at this issue, especially as it relates to resource sharing. Bowell called for a vote on the motion to approve RSC’s new charge. Endorsement of the charge was unanimous.

Matthew Shaw and Bowell met in June to discuss the possibility of the RSC and RAC working together to propose an ALI response to the Open Access movement. President Bowell recommended the formation of an RSC/RAC subcommittee to examine the issue. Volunteers have been identified from both committees and a meeting is planned for August to elect a chair. Cheryl Truesdell suggested extending an invitation to others interested in open access issues to be part of this committee. The committee will work on a statement of endorsement for the open access movement and a basic template for advancing open access at ALI institutions.

Jim Mullins related his experience with open access posting and publishing at Purdue. He noted it took a year to explain the issues, but when faculty understood, they fully supported open access. Evidence that posting items in the institutional repository increases the number of citations resonates with faculty. Faculty are now publishing in open access journals and don’t even know it, because it is the right journal for them, refereed and peer reviewed.

Matthew reported on the Ideas and Insights Series: Resource Sharing conference sponsored by Lyrasis and held at the Indiana State Library. Sessions included a presentation by OCLC on the future of
resource sharing, BorrowDirect a book delivery system between participating Ivy League libraries, the Swank model for streaming video, and Evergreen Indiana.

Following the lunch break, President Bowell asked for a response to his request for a few of our favorite things for keeping abreast of developments in library and information science. Journal titles included Against the Grain, Chronicle of Higher Education, Journal of Academic Librarianship, College and Research Libraries News, OCLC Research Quarterly Highlights, Journal of Electronic Resources Librarianship, and portal: Libraries and the Academy. News feeds/digests/newsletters that members use include Above the Fold (OCLC), American Libraries Direct, Inside Higher Education, and Peter Scott's Library Blog. Web sites of interest are ALA Tech Source (www.alatechsource.org/), Educause, and Library Technology Guides. Also it was suggested searching Google news using the phrase “academic libraries.” Bowell will update this list for the group and add new ones as received.

Update on Indiana Academic Library representatives to the MCLS Board: Robert Roethemeyer, is an At-Large representative, Jim Mullins is the ARL representative, and Janet Fore is the academic library representative. Indiana State Library asked to be removed from the MCLS board as this was considered a conflict of interest issue. Indiana academic library representatives to the MCLS Board would like to see a discussion of what MCLS can do for Indiana with the money that went to MCLS from INCOLSA.

Review of the ALI Annual meeting: Bowell asked for reflections and suggestions on the annual meeting. Arthur Hafner likes the current format. Some commented that the most interesting part of the meeting was the panel discussion hosted by Jim Mullins in response to the challenges identified by the keynote speaker. Janet Fore noted that the ALI annual meeting may be the only meeting small academic library directors will attend and so it should include a visionary speaker, but also provide an opportunity to hear about innovations going on around the state. A suggestion was made that maybe next year the annual meeting could have a larger discussion about the value of the academic library and how libraries impact undergraduate education. Other suggestions included a program without a keynote speaker, deciding on a theme for the annual meeting that speaks to all, and deciding on a keynote speaker early enough to be able to publicize the topic and raise interest. Peter Suber was suggested as a keynote speaker.

New Business

The Board reviewed the request for ALI to become a sponsor for the IFLA Interlending and Document Supply Conference, Chicago, September 19-21, 2011. Bronze-level supporters contribute $1,000 for which they are mentioned in the program, are listed on the sponsor signage, and their logo and a mention are put on the website. The board discussed the request and asked how the sponsorship would improve ALI operations. No action was taken.

Discussion on the reporting relationships between ALI and ISL, ILF, MCLS, and PALNI:

The question was raised about what ALI’s relationship to these other organizations should be. One concern is how we should handle reports from these organizations. Do we want reports submitted in
person? What information do we want from these reports? ALI could invite them when there are timely, relevant topics that we wish to speak to them about or they could ask to be on the agenda if they have something to bring before ALI. David Lewis feels that it is appropriate to have an annual or biannual report from the Indiana State Library and others. He noted that the State Library is important to Indiana academic libraries as the collaborative for Indiana, provider of INSPIRE databases and LSTA grants. The purpose of inviting these agencies would be to discuss how we can collaborate for the benefit of library services in Indiana.

“Charting a Future for ALI”: Review and Discussion: The meeting handouts included a document “ALI: Reflection Questions on the Past, Present and Future.” These questions and suggestions were intended to reflect upon ALI’s accomplishments of the past eight years, to consider ALI’s recent activities, and to stimulate discussion about ALI’s future.

Looking back, the first eight years: Key accomplishments include database purchasing, reciprocal borrowing, government documents light archive, and implementing the ALI concept of having all academic libraries sit down and hear each other.

Some Board members expressed concern that libraries still work in isolated groups. The current HAIL initiative is one project that involves all types of libraries and other projects like this should be encouraged.

It was noted that we have the capacity organizationally to accomplish some major achievements. Indiana is a small state with all academic libraries as members of ALI and we are agile enough to make an impact. In addition, ALI has a sizable reserve fund that could be spent once guidelines for its use are established.

ALI in the Future: What is the next big thing for ALI? ALI needs to stretch beyond being a buying club for e-resources to other issues.

David Lewis believes that the Fulfillment project collaboration with the State Library could become a multi-state project using open source software to link multiple catalogs. He also sees the use of LSTA funds for statewide innovative projects.

David Lewis also noted that we need a statewide approach to preserving Indiana academic libraries’ legacy collection of books and paper journals.

Bowell noted that ALI has the opportunity to begin cooperative collection development with e-books. In one model the consortium would purchase multiple-use copies of e-books (at the cost of 6-8 times the cost of a single-use book), but ALI would own the book and all ALI members would have access to the books. This would require a sizable commitment by ALI.

Jim Mullins brought up the topic of the ACRL April 10-13, 2013 conference which is going to be held in Indianapolis for the first time. It is important that we make a good impression. Jim is co-chair of the planning committee and needs volunteers for local arrangements, publicity, guides, publications, highlights of Indianapolis. Jim will send information out about this.
It was decided that this discussion should be continued at the October meeting.

Bowell reminded the board that the schedule for review and development of the Strategic Plan Is to happen this fiscal year. Planning for the Strategic Plan is the responsibility of the ALI Vice President.

Bowell adjourned the meeting at 3:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Cheryl Truesdell

ALI Board Secretary
ALI Board of Directors Meeting  
IUPUI University Library  
November 8, 2011

Present:  Daniel J. Bowell (Presiding), Susan Clark, Janet Fore, John Fribley, Arthur Hafner, James Mullins (phone), Mary Persyn, David Peter, Robert Roethemeyer, Eileen Saner, Cheryl Truesdell, Diane Walker, Carolyn Walters, Matthew Shaw (Resource Sharing Committee), George Stachokas (Resource Advisory Committee) (phone)

Call to Order:  Bowell called the meeting to order at 10:09 am.  He called for additions to the agenda.  No items were added.

Minutes:  The minutes of the August 9, 2011 Board of Directors meeting were reviewed.  Two corrections were requested.  Eileen Saner moved and Janet Fore seconded approval of the minutes. Minutes were approved with corrections.

Treasurer’s Report:  Mary Persyn presented the budget report as of September 30, 2011.  Two libraries have not paid their membership dues.  ALI expenditures to date are $2,280.00. Even with expenditures for April’s board meeting and lunches for the E-Resource Rendezvous, ALI maintains a healthy reserve of approximately $80,000.  The Treasurer’s report was approved by affirmation.

Committee Reports:

Information Literacy Committee (Susan Clark, Chair):  Susan was re-elected Chair of the committee at the August 10 meeting.  Other officers include:  Chair-elect Catherine Pellegrino and Secretary, Marie White.  Susan reported on the committee’s discussion on how HAIL goals can be implemented using ideas gained from the Information Literacy Summit.  These include:  1.) apply for a declaration of Information Literacy Month for Indiana similar to the national Information Literacy Awareness month in October and the Massachusetts designation for its state; 2.) create four subcommittees to develop and implement activities that address HAIL’s main objectives — Outreach (Chair, Sharon Weiner), Teacher Education (Chair, JenTraore), PR/Marketing (Chair, George Bergstrom), and Professional Development (Chair, Shannon Johnson).  The chairs of the subcommittees will be responsible for soliciting members from academic, school, public, medical, and special libraries, arranging initial meetings, and determining goals and priorities.  The ALI-Infolit listserv has been changed to the HAIL listserv and has been opened up to people outside ALI.  There are 39 subscribers so far.  While progress has been slow so far, there is a lot of enthusiasm.  A flyer about HAIL will be added to the ILF Annual Conference packet.  Susan will be attending the Information Literacy Symposium being held at Purdue University on Friday, November 11, 2011.  Susan will pursue information from Jim Corridan, Indiana State Library, about a public librarian in southern Indiana who is working on an information literacy grant proposal.

Resource Advisory Committee (George Stachokas, Chair):  George joined the ALI meeting via telephone.  Nancy Harris, primary Lyrasis contact for ALI, will be taking on new responsibilities within Lyrasis.  Lyrasis hopes to fill her current position with a new primary contact for ALI in November, but Nancy will continue to work with ALI through 2011.  George reported on the 2011 E-Resource Rendezvous conference held at IUPUI University Library on October 7, 2011.  There were 52 attendees.  The primary emphasis of the conference was on ebooks and library and information science resources.  Issues raised by the small group sessions included: interest in acquiring more ebooks, interest in discovery layer resources and services, and interest in workshops and training opportunities for
All current ALI subscribers renewed their existing package of 38 titles from the American Chemical Society (ACS). ACS introduced two new titles in 2012 which most ALI subscribers added to their ACS Web Editions package. We do not know what the cost for these titles will be in 2013. George reminded the Board that existing Summon pricing is good through this year. [Some proprietary content excised here.]

The RAC Licensing Subcommittee and the ALI Resource Sharing Committee (RSC) are working together to develop model license language for ebooks that addresses resource and scholarly sharing, perpetual access, accessibility on multiple devices, and other interests of academic libraries.

Eileen Saner asked about the e-books available from EBSCO. It has been difficult to get the information out about this deal and there is a short deadline. The lists of books provided by EBSCO is large and in a raw form. George has consolidated the data and created six lists. The lists include e-books and audio books. George will put the information on the RAC wiki and encourage ALI libraries to check there for title availability. The offer is good until December 2011. The RAC will work on a more precise offer in 2012.

Dan asked about the downloadable capabilities of the ebooks. The RAC is actively investigating Ebrary and EBSCO. Ebrary requires patrons to download Adobe Digital editions. If the book is less than 60 pages, software is not needed to download the pages. Ebrary software also limits to one checkout at a time. Ebook vendors need to improve and simplify their options to satisfy patron’s desire to make it possible and easy to download to a variety of devices. Overdrive has not been considered by ALI yet. The Board recommends that the RAC continue to pursue better e-book software and pricing options for ALI.

**ALI Lyrasis Agreement:** The RAC has completed negotiations with Lyrasis for its new contract. George noted that the overall contract negotiation has been very difficult, and it has taken six to seven months of negotiation to get to the current contract. He believes the contract is good and the new annual fee is reasonable. ALI is demanding more work from Lyrasis and expecting solid and reliable service. The contract includes a larger core collection portfolio. [Further contractual details excised.]

Mary Persyn noted that the contract does not state that Lyrasis will collect the fees. ALI does not want to go back to collecting fees and paying Lyrasis. She asked that this be explicitly stated in the agreement.

Dan asked if we need a legal review of the agreement. The previous agreement did have a legal review and since no substantive changes in wording has been made to this new agreement the board believes
that we do not need another legal review.

(See contract and Appendix A and B)

Since the motion to accept the new Lyrasis contract comes from the RAC as a motion, no second needed. The vote to accept the agreement was unanimous.

Dan thanked the RAC for all of their hard work.

**Resource Sharing Committee (Matthew Shaw, Chair):** The Discovery to Delivery conference tentative date is March 9, 2012. It will be held at either IUPUI or Marion College which has an auditorium. Video simulcasting could be available at IUPUI. Mary Persyn also suggested Indiana Wesleyan (IWU) for the conference if Marion falls through. IWU does charge for use of their facility, but it is not expensive. ALI’s relationship with the Indiana State Library (ISL) continues to grow and strengthen. ISL will pay half of the cost of the conference. Last year ALI spent $1,800 on the conference, but if we double attendance it may cost $2,000 this year. The planning committee is considering a plenary session on the Fulfillment program. Everyone is interested in a meet and greet with InfoExpress. Tony Melvyn will provide an update on article exchange.

The RSC had formed a subcommittee to re-market the ALI reciprocal borrowing program. Currently there is low usage of the program, probably due to a lack of general awareness.

The Open Access subcommittee has been formed. James Tolbert has been added to the subcommittee. The subcommittee met on September 28, 2011. A wiki has been created and some model statements have been posted. The subcommittee is developing an OA endorsement statement and template for ALI. The formal charge of the subcommittee was presented and asked for approval by the Board. The vote on the charge was unanimous.

The RSC is working with the RAC on model licensing language for the ebook project.

**Conflict of Interest Policy.** Mary Persyn presented a Conflict of Interest Policy for ALI based upon the one used by PALNI. She had a concern about the financial interest clause (Section 2 (b)). The Board discussed concerns with conflict of interest if an ALI Board member serves on the OCLC Board which provides a substantial monetary compensation. It was decided that this would not be a conflict of interest because the compensation serves as an honorarium in lieu of consulting fees faculty and librarians are allowed to collect. The Board voted to adopt the policy. It will be signed by the Board and filed with ALI’s fiscal agent. This should be an annual process. Janet noted that this process should be added to the by-laws as a responsibility of the ALI Executive Board members.

**Issue of Chair/Vice-Chair or President/Vice-President.** The ALI by-laws state that the leader of the Board of Directors is the chair, however, in meetings, contracts and other documents this position has been referred to as President and Vice-President. It was noted that in earlier meetings the board had requested that the designation be changed to President/Vice-President but the by-laws have not been changed. The reason for making the change is that the President/Vice-President nomenclature carries more weight and prestige than Chair/Vice-Chair. A by-laws change will require an announcement and a vote. Eileen suggested that we look at the by-laws for other changes that need to be made. Dan asked for volunteers to form a subcommittee of three to make the necessary changes. Eileen, Janet and John volunteered to work on the by-laws. In the meantime, the Board agreed to continue the practice of using the President/Vice-President designation while the by-laws are being examined and changed.
New Business

ACRL 2013 – Jim Mullins, who is co-chairing the local arrangements committee for ACRL 2013, asked if ALI would consider being a sponsor of the 2013 meeting. The sponsorship amounts have not yet been set, but Jim believes that it would be one way of promoting Indiana academic libraries in a positive way. The Board agrees with becoming a sponsor, in principle, but will wait to act on this until the amounts for sponsorship and the benefits attached are known. Janet asked if ALI should sponsor some new librarians to attend the conference. Arthur questioned how this relates to the strategic plan and whether ALI members would see this as a function of ALI. Janet noted that there is a professional development initiative in our strategic plan and that a scholarship program such as this would enhance our mission. Mary Persyn argued that small libraries may never be able to afford to send librarians to ACRL. It was suggested that we bring this discussion to the annual membership meeting.

ALI support for the 2013 Open Repositories program: David Lewis is applying to host the 2013 conference in Indiana. He asked if ALI would be willing to support this effort. Sponsorship for this conference is about $1,500 and includes having our name in the program. He believes that ALI sponsorship could help with the application to host the conference in Indiana. He hopes that ALI will play a major role in this conference, if we can get Indianapolis as the venue.

Planning for ALI annual meeting: The board continued its discussion of the kind of program we want for the 2012 annual meeting which is planned for the second Thursday in May. Jim Mullins suggested that we have three panels that represent ALI’s main constituencies discuss the challenges facing these cohorts – community colleges, liberal arts colleges, research institutions. Janet asked if we should have more than three panels. The Board agreed that it would be best to concentrate on the three main groups and continue the conversation with other groups in the future. It was suggested that we allot 45 minutes for each panel. Poster sessions could reflect the program, looking at trends for the future.

Carolyn Walters from IU Bloomington talked about a meeting of provosts at IU about the future of the research library. The meeting framed the broad context and the library perspective and then faculty related how they use the library. The attendance was large and many perspectives were given. Carolyn suggested continuing this conversation by adding faculty and/or provosts to our panels. Dan sees the merit of this idea and that it would be a good opportunity for ALI to have a conversation with the wider ALI membership.

Further discussion focused on the purpose of the annual meeting. Is it to get acquainted with each other and to learn from each other? It was agreed that we need more participation and interaction among ALI members. This type of annual meeting could inform us more about how ALI can meet the obstacles we all face and help with strategic planning.

It was suggested that another way to get to know ALI members and libraries better is to have everyone submit a brief bio, including pictures, if possible, before the meeting. Dan volunteered to spearhead this project and David volunteered to help with this. Arthur suggested that Dan send a general e-mail to ask for member suggestions and remind them of the dates of the meeting. Arthur will also disseminate the evaluations from the last meeting.

Mary Persyn is willing to work on local arrangements again this year. In fact, the room is reserved already. The Board would like to organize the room differently. The room is long and deep and persons in the back are remote from the speaker and discussion. The speaker system is also very bad in that room. Could we put the table in the center? Arthur volunteered John Lamborn (Dan will ask
Development of the Strategic Plan: Ideas – tame and turbulent

Ali’s strategic plan runs through 2012. We need to analyze it and determine what we have achieved and not achieved, what we should be doing, and what challenges are before us?

Eileen summarized the goals of the current plan:

**Access to electronic databases and content through collaboration by ALI Libraries**

This area is where ALI has put most of its efforts and has realized some success.

**Enhancing quality of, access to, and curation of tangible collection**

Resource sharing initiatives have increased access to collections.

**Provide effective Instructional support for students in partnership with faculty via ALI Libraries**

**Support professional development and educational opportunities for librarians in ALI libraries**

Have we conducted any surveys to assess continuing education needs of those in the profession? Jim Mullins, Brenda Johnson, and two others are meeting with SLIS dean to discuss the needs of research libraries and academic libraries in the state and how SLIS plans to address these needs in educating their graduate students.

**Proactively engage within our institutions, with governmental organizations, with statewide library agencies, and with schools of library and information science**

The board agreed that at our next meeting we need to talk about MCLS and its role in Indiana. We also need to invite Jim Corridan and Bobbie Brooker to talk to ALI about working with the Indiana State Library. It was agreed that we should change the February meeting to a face-to-face meeting and invite them to this meeting. The meeting will be held on February 14, 2012 at IUPUI.

Board members provided ideas and suggestions for developing the next strategic plan. Janet suggested that we conduct an assessment of the organization. We need to ask ourselves and our members what we are really about, and what value we provide to our members?

Matthew Shaw noted that the next big idea in resource sharing for ALI might be the Fulfillment project. Fulfillment is a combined online catalog database to facilitate resource sharing among libraries through remote circulation. He noted that Indiana is already behind Michigan, Ohio, Kentucky in this area. He noted that the best way to move this forward is to work with the Indiana State Library possibly on a pilot project.

Mary Persyn suggested that ALI do more in the areas of shared collections, shared storage and distributed collections. PALNI have tried to move this forward, but has not made any progress. It needs to be done on a statewide scale.

Carolyn Walters noted that we could learn from other consortia who are working on models for
distributed and coordinated collection development. The CIC, western states, Federal Depository Libraries, and California Digital Libraries are working on various models.

Eileen asked what we should do with our reserve funds.

Carolyn Walters questioned whether ALI would accomplish more with hired staff. What would it take organizationally to move to a new level? She pointed to the success of the PALNI model and wondered if this should be the next stage in the organization. Running an organization is a lot of work for volunteers. It is like a full-time job.

If we hired staff dues would have to be raised. How would the cost be allocated?

Jim ask if we could purchase librarians for 6-month so it is not a long-term investment. Consistency is important.

Candidates were requested for serving on the Strategic Planning Committee.

Resolution honoring Lewis Miller:
The ALI Board praised Lewis Miller for his service to ALI. He was a key catalyst for licensing resources and truly a driving force in our contract with American Chemical Society which is about as advantageous as we could hope to get. He was also instrumental in negotiating the first Lyrasis agreement. Mary Persyn moved that ALI recognize and thank Lewis Miller for many years of exemplary service to ALI in the area of licensing. Arthur seconded the motion. It passed unanimously.

Bowell adjourned the meeting at 2:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Cheryl Truesdell
ALI Board Secretary
ALI Board of Directors Meeting  
IUPUI University Library  
February 14, 2012

Present: Daniel J. Bowell (Presiding), Robert Roethemeyer, Brenda Johnson, Susan Clark, Cheryl Truesdell, David Lewis, Mary Persyn (phone), James Mullins, George Stachokas (Resource Advisory Committee), Matthew Shaw (Resource Sharing Committee), John Fribley, Eileen Saner, John Lamborn, Suzanne Rice (for Arthur Hafner, Ball State), Diane Walker, Janet Fore.

Absent: Frank Cervone

Call to Order: Bowell called the meeting to order at 10:07 a.m.

Minutes: The minutes of the November 8, 2011 of the Board of Director’s meeting were reviewed. Lamborn moved and Mullins seconded a motion to approve the minutes. Minutes were passed without corrections.

Treasurer’s Report: The ALI budget through the end of December 2011 was reviewed. Persyn reported that the bank had started to charge ALI $25.00/month because we did not have enough in our savings account. Persyn has moved more money into our savings account to avoid any more of these charges, however this leaves less money gaining some interest in CD investments. She will be looking into changing banks, but suggests we wait to make the change until a new secretary is elected due to all of the paperwork involved. The deposit account with Lyrasis is considerable at this time because we have not paid our share of Academic Source Premier yet. The treasurer’s report was approved by affirmation.

Committee Report: Information Literacy Committee (Susan Clark, Chair):

The Committee has been meeting monthly. She is pleased with the progress being made and the level of participation. At today’s meeting they will be working on an Information Literacy Week – October 2012 proclamation for the Governor. The Committee would like to add another subgroup to work with dual credit issues. It also wants to do something with ACRL 2013. Call for papers for ACRL 2013 have gone out and they are working on submitting a proposal.

Resource Advisory Committee (George Stachokas, Chair):

The new Lyrasis agreement is in force. The RAC is developing an initiative to provide LIS full-text resources for our profession. RAC is looking into a potential licensing strategy for obtaining group discounts for unique e-journal packages. Customizable deals for e-journal packages will be pursued with Taylor and Francis, Elsevier, and EBSCO. The Board would like customizable deals for e-book packages as well. John Lamborn, would ideally like to have a customizable deal with a vendor that enables us to have a group price even if we all don’t have the same title. George noted that this may be difficult because vendors want a mass commitment to make a deal. Existing models are not cost effective. John suggested that we focus on vendors who are willing to work with us and be flexible, such as Ebrary,
Ebsco, or CHOICE’s e-book collection. David Lewis noted that the ideal way is to select a collection, load it, when a user wants it, we purchase it and everyone has access. The campus that selected it pays for it. He admitted that we would have to commit a chunk of money for this. It was suggested that the RAD “knock on doors and see who will talk.” Need more information from the Board. The Board agreed to ask the committee to investigate and pilot a shared e-book pilot project this semester. Mullins asked the RAC to look at initiatives coming out of university presses. We don’t want vendors to dictate the e-book model. E-books should be available on a “preview to purchase” basis. We would like a model where we could rent the e-book for the first two uses and purchase on the third use. That one purchase would then give ownership to all member libraries. Try to find a vendor that will work closely with us. We would need a maximum purchase /per month and a limited legal obligation so we don’t get over extended. Look at Ebrary, EBSCO, university presses in addition to individual publishers. The Board requested that the RAC bring back a proposal(s) to the Board for its consideration and advice.

George noted that the RAC needs to find out what the membership wants so he can continue to build our portfolio. He hopes that the launch of SharePoint and surveys of interest will gather more suggestions from the membership.

RAC would like to collaborate with ILF to expand vendor showcase at the annual conference. They suggested the possibility of working with Lyrasis or Kentucky/Ohio organizations to have a wider vendor presence.

RAC is continuing to work on model licensing language for e-books. RAC is working on wording that addresses resource and scholarly sharing, perpetual access, resource sharing requirements, and e-books accessible on multiple devices.

Jim Corridan from the Indiana State Library arrived and the agenda moved to updates from the Indiana State Library.

**LSTA:** LSTA funds are the same as last year which is good news. Jim asked if academic libraries took part in advocacy for IMLS funding. ILF does advocacy for libraries.

**INSPIRE:** The state is soliciting vendors for the Inspire contract. Jim asked ALI to let him know if there are databases outside the normal group that we want as part of the bidding process. ALI is represented on the INSPIRE Advisory Committee by Lori Duggan and Rebecca Richardson. Vendors must submit their proposal to the state in another week or so. The Indiana History portal has been added to INSPIRE. The Sabin collection from Gale will be added.

**Leadership Academy Program:** The State Library is developing a new leadership academy program for librarians, modeled after the one offered at Harvard. There is a need to develop innovative leaders at all levels. The first class will be fifty selected librarians who will receive extensive training for five days at a state park and three days in Indianapolis. $100,000 has been set aside for this program. The State Library will subsidize the bulk of the cost to attend the institute and is finalizing the lodging and
application process. Notification will probably go out in April or May with the first class seated in August. Mullins requested places be made available for faculty from the School of Library and Information Science as observers to that they can be thinking about the role of the SLIS education of future librarians. The IUPUI SLIS Associate Dean is on the committee. The Board is very interested in this opportunity and requested that we be sent information about it as soon as it is available. The Board also believes that representatives from all library types can learn from each other and that too often we are isolated in our own silos.

**Discovery to Delivery Conference:** The State Library and ALI are working on the Discovery to Delivery conference in March. Some agenda items include statistics and trends in resource sharing and the Fulfillment program. Fulfillment would provide a statewide portal for discovery of materials in Indiana. Eleven states have expressed interest in developing this open source resource. This would be a huge step forward for Indiana and allow libraries to avoid OCLC charges when they loan to each other. Some of the ALI ILS systems are not currently compatible with the Fulfillment system, but ALI libraries are interested in gathering more information about this project.

**INCAT:** INCAT in OCLC has been eliminated. It was costing $97,000/year to have a segmented view. Now that WorldCat allows segmenting searches, it was not cost effective to continue to pay for a separate INCAT catalog.

Some content excised here. Contact the President or Secretary for further information.
E-books: Publishers don’t want to extend access via a statewide consortium, because they are afraid of losing money. Generally, by summer the State Library has some unspent LSTA funds. One idea would be to negotiate a statewide e-book collection. Stachokas wondered if the vendors would try a pilot consortial deal if we offered them a pool of money.

E-readers: The Indiana State Library has purchased several e-readers and is using them to help the public. The State Library is trying to develop programs for early childhood materials.

HAIL: ISL is open to working with ALI’s HAIL. HAIL members have found that information literacy concerns go across library types. The Indiana State Library’s new leadership academy could address information literacy issues. Also, ISL would like HAIL to include education about INSPIRE in its projects.

Book Repository: Mullins asked if the State Library would be willing to pursue an initiative to develop an off-site repository for books that could help preserve the heritage of the state. Historical societies have awful facilities and need spaces for preservation. Eight years ago, ownership was an issue but collective ownership may be possible to discuss now. Corridan noted that the state library has a foundation now and wondered if ALI would be willing to assist in raising money for the foundation to build a facility. ALI suggested that a joint grant application to Lilly foundation might be successful for such a collaborative and innovative project. Indiana could take the lead and be a model for other states. This is a project that may be considered under the next administration.

Legislation: The State Library has a bill drafted for legislative refinement. The state has a mandate to reduce the number of boards and commissions. ISLAC has been recommended for elimination, but a new committee called SLAC has been recommended. This change is still under discussion.

Borrowing and Lending Trends: State Library research on borrowing and lending trends shows that the amount that is being borrowed is the same, but the type of material is different. Circulation is going down, but ILL is going up. People are finding items more specific to their needs in other libraries. People now have access to materials they really want in other libraries. The challenge is to make the transition from more stuff to the right stuff.

Digital repository: Corridan stated that the state is way behind in electronic records management and that it makes sense for Indiana to start a state electronic records initiative. Corridan believes the correct model is a consortial collaborative model to provide redundancy. The Digital Public Library of America consisting of leaders from all types of libraries, museums and archives is taking the first concrete steps toward the realization of a large-scale digital public library that will make cultural and scientific record available to all. State governments are interested in getting state documents and local history items digitized and available in one place.

Communication: The State Library is interested in improving communication between them and ALI. There is currently no direct communication with academic libraries. ALI suggested that the state library send items to Bowell or Truesdell to send out on the ALI lists for the Executive Board and all ALI library deans/directors. Strong positive relationship is beneficial for both parties. Questions remain on the role
of ALI vis a vis the State Library. What does the interplay look like? What are the big ideas we are trying to tackle? This meeting has been helpful. We agreed that it will be useful to have the State Library represented at future ALI meetings, possibly twice per year. Susan asked how ALI could communicate with the State Library. We noted that the State Library web site is not user friendly. Corridan noted that we can post on listservs set up for other types of libraries and that the Wednesday Word is sent out every week.

**Resource Sharing Committee report:** Shaw reported on plans for the Discovery to Delivery Conference to be held on March 9 at Ball State University’s Student Service Complex. There will be one session on the FulfILLment project. InfoExpress will also on the agenda with a moderated session. Tony Melvyn is going to give an update from OCLC. There will be three breakout sessions in the afternoon, including sessions on IN-Share and Evergreen. Programs were chosen that would appeal to public libraries as well. The Indiana State library will be issuing LEU credits. The projected total budget is $5,300, ALI agreed to pay half and the State Library will pay the other half. The budget may have to be adjusted after registration, but attendance has been capped at 200.

RSC is working with RAC on model licensing language for e-book acquisition.

Shaw presented the draft Open Access endorsement and template proposed by the Open Access Subcommittee for individual libraries to use at their institution. Mullins expressed concern about libraries speaking on behalf of the university and the need to have it approved by administration and/or faculty. In addition there was a question about the difference between the endorsing statement and the template. The Board suggested that we remove the template as part of the resolution and make them two separate documents. The Board also suggested that the subcommittee re-craft the motion to support the Berlin Declaration on Open Access.

**Resource Advisory Committee Business:** Stachokas asked if the increase in ALI-Lyrasis fees should be distributed in the same way as they were in previous years. The original calculation was based upon a small base fee + FTE/institution. In this scenario the percentages remain the same. Mullins moved and Lamborn seconded a motion to allocate the ALI-Lyrasis fee on the same model as previous years. The motion passed unanimously.

Stachokas presented a proposal from the RAC to send him to the ICOLC meeting in April. Lamborn moved and Saner seconded a motion to pay for his travel and expenses to attend the ICOLC meeting in Denver. The motion passed unanimously.

**Strategic Plan Update:** A new ALI Strategic Plan Task Force needs to begin working on the next ALI plan. The work would be done over the summer and presented to the Board in the fall. A new plan needs to be approved in 2013. Each constituent needs to be represented as well as the standing committees. Rice (standing in for Arthur Hafner) suggested that the board reflect on the successes of the current plan. It was also suggested that we begin with a relatively small group that can meet face-to-face get the process started, because a large committee slows down the process. It was agreed that the current plan is too precise and operational and that the next plan needs to be more streamlined and focused,
but the plan should also address big issues and ideas. Lamborn commented that the Taskforce will have to wrestle with this, but that we should give them some direction and something to work with. He suggested that we find out what is happening with our partners in terms of strategic planning, e.g. PALNI, ISL, etc. Bowell agreed to flesh out a charge to the Task Force and encouraged Board members to think about who from our constituency needs to be named to this group.

**Nominating Committee:** Susan Clark is chair of the Nominating Committee. The Secretary needs to conduct the election for next year’s membership on the Board. The Nominating Committee will need to solicit volunteers for the various offices. The Committee will have to find a new Treasurer. Persyn suggests that the Treasurer live close to Indianapolis for banking purposes. She noted that the job is not difficult and that the accountant does most of the work. Truesdell will get the ballots out immediately for election to the Board and send results to Clark by the first week in March.

**Draft annual meeting program:** The annual meeting will be held at Indiana Wesleyan in Indianapolis, but it will be in a different location, the second floor. Lunch will be in breakout rooms. Mary will finalize location arrangements. Bowell put out ideas for this year’s program based upon past meeting discussions. The idea for this year’s meeting is to encourage conversation and discussion. The theme will center on the report *Redefining the Academic Library*. Bowell asked Johnson to tell the Board a little bit about this report. The report was compiled by the University Leadership Council of the Education Advisory Board through interviewing librarians and provosts from a wide range of academic institutions and libraries regarding the future of academic libraries. The report is provocative and the themes are universal — e.g., redeploying staff, reallocating space, and scholarly communication. At IU the library sponsored a forum around this report to discuss with faculty. Over 160 faculty came and it was a good discussion. Lewis commented that the report is easy to read, has a nice executive summary and checklist for libraries to see where they stand in terms of the future. The checklist would be a great vehicle for discussion.

The Board wants the format of the meeting to move briskly. Saner thought the report could be the conversation starter and then open up for 15 minute responses from various libraries. This could be followed by breakout sessions by topic or by type of library. It was suggested that the lunch hour could also be by topics, type of libraries or random distribution, so we can get different perspectives. It was also suggested that members of the Board be assigned to each table to take notes and bring back information to the board to help with strategic planning.

A planning group was formed with Arthur Hafner, John Lamborn, David Peter, David Lewis, and Brenda Johnson. John Lamborn agreed to convene the group. Mary will be doing the on-site registrations so requests for meeting layout, etc. should be sent to her.

**ALI Cooperative Periodical Retention Project**

Bowell presented a draft of a proposed shared print periodical repository system based upon a similar proposal to PALNI. Is this a project that could gain momentum in Indiana if it included all ALI libraries and not just PALNI libraries? Could a decentralized approach evolve into a centralized repository?
Mullins posed some questions: would it be limited to journals only available in print or electronic titles that many libraries do not have access to? Would it concentrate on humanities and social science journals? David Lewis commented that this is a problem that doesn’t need to be solved. IU has the ALF and the CIC group will have multiple copies of print legacy collections. Concerns were raised whether the CIC journal repositories will be available for use by other than CIC members. What is the public service access to these items? The expectation is that the journals will not be loaned, but what will the digital access be for non-CIC members? Could PALNI pay a fee to house their legacy collections in the IU ALF? ALI needs to seek clarity from IU regarding the access that will be provided to ALI libraries from the ALF. ALI libraries are concerned about freeing up space for other purposes and need to address the issue of print repositories for journals and books. The Board agreed to wait and see what happens with other academic repository projects, such as the Hathitrust, before embarking on a state-wide project.

ACRL 2013: Jim Mullins is co-chairing the local arrangements committee for the 2013 ACRL conference in Indianapolis. This is an opportunity to promote Indiana in a positive way. Feedback from the Super Bowl emphasized the friendliness of Indianapolis. David Lewis commented that ACRL is struggling to find companies to fund events for ACRL. Jim suggested that ALI contribute funds for a sponsorship of the conference. One strategy in the past has been to start with library support in order to encourage corporate support. It is hoped that if companies see that libraries are willing to support the conference that they will give their support as well. ACRL will not be coming back to Indiana for a long time and ALA midwinter is not scheduled for Indianapolis until 2021, so paying for some level of sponsorship will not be requested again in the near future. Dan asked Jim to bring a proposal to the April board meeting to contribute to ACRL both as a sponsor and provide scholarships for Indiana librarians to attend.

By-laws: Janet Fore presented an update on the bylaws. Persyn found other versions of the bylaws including one from 2007 that includes most of the changes that Board believed needed to be made to the bylaws. We need to verify that this 2007 version of the bylaws was voted on by the membership. The ALI minutes are online so we should be able to determine if this was done. Once we verify the latest version of the bylaws in force we can determine the scope of changes needed. One suggestion is that matters of fact, such as institutional name changes should appear in the appendices and not part of the bylaws so changes don’t have to be made to the By-laws every time a name or other simple factual item is changed. Dan asked the By-laws Group to continue working on the updating of the bylaws.

Digital Preservation Network (DPN, pronounced “Deepen”): Brenda and David attended a meeting of the DPN. The purpose of DPN is to create a digital preservation network that would preserve the nation’s digital information in diverse replicating nodes. This effort is being led by CIOs and librarians with the support of university presidents. Over thirty universities committed to forming a launch team to develop long-term preservation practices. Although the cost will be significant, David is confident that the support and technology is available to develop a system to effectively preserve documents and images, for decades, even centuries.

Other Business:
OCLC’s Game Changer’s Event: ALI received an invitation to attend the 2-day OCLC Game Changers event. It is not clear if this is a promotional for OCLC’s “Web-Scale Management” system. No ALI Board members showed interest in attending this two-day open-ended discussion.

Some content excised here. Contact the President or Secretary for further information.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:01 p.m.
ALI Board of Director’s Meeting
Taylor University
April 3, 2012

Present: Daniel J. Bowell (Presiding), Robert Roethemeyer, Susan Clark, Cheryl Truesdell, David Lewis, Mary Persyn, Frank Cervone (phone), Arthur Hafner, George Stachokas (Resource Advisory Committee, phone), Matthew Shaw (Resource Sharing Committee, phone), John Friable, David Peter, John Lamborn, Janet Fore, Kirsten Leonard (guest).

Absent: Brenda Johnson, James Mullins, Eileen Saner, Diane Walker

Call to Order: Bowell called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

Minutes: Lewis moved and Lamborn seconded a motion to approve the minutes of the February 14, 2012 Board of Director’s meeting with corrections. Minutes accepted.

Treasurer’s report
The draft budget presented by Persyn is the same as last year. Persyn recommended moving $100 from Board expenses to the Financial Management line. The realization that the ALI reserve is now over $80,000 engendered a lengthy discussion about how the reserve fund should be utilized. One suggestion was that we reduce ALI membership fees, another was to use the funds to pay half of the Lyrasis fee for member libraries. Some suggested investing in an innovative project for academic libraries, such as purchasing a shared ebook collection. Bowell noted that we have had this discussion before and it is time to make a decision. Lewis suggested we begin by deciding how much money is necessary to be kept in reserve and how much should be spent on projects for the membership. It was agreed that we need to have a mechanism to use the money wisely, preferably tied to the strategic plan. Lewis moved and Hafner seconded a motion to recommend to the membership that ALI maintain a reserve fund not to exceed the last three years of expenditures and further develop guidelines for appropriate use of the balance. The motion carried. Lewis volunteered to chair a Task Force to recommend suggestions for using ALI reserve funds. Plans were made to allow time at the annual meeting in May to discuss this issue.

Lamborn moved and Peter seconded a motion to approve the 2012-2013 budget. The motion passed unanimously.

Committee Reports
Information Literacy Committee (Susan Clark, Chair)

The Information Literacy Committee’s four working groups are meeting regularly. The Professional Development Group is creating a Learning Theory tutorial. The Teacher Education Working Group is creating a survey for academic librarians working at institutions with teacher education programs to explore information literacy needs. The Marketing Group is developing a poster session for the ALI annual meeting and ACRL 2013. A new working group has been created called Dual Credit and is chaired by Shannon Johnson, IPFW.
HAIL presented a draft proclamation to the Governor's office on behalf of ALI requesting designation of an Information Literacy Week in October. After some discussion it was recommended that the draft include a Whereas section defining information literacy and include reference to INSPIRE and the breadth and quality of resources it provides funded by the state for the people of Indiana. The revised proclamation can be distributed to the Board electronically, but does not need a vote.

Clark brought forward three funding requests from the ILC for Board approval

- Proposal to fund a Developing Indiana’s Workforce through Information Literacy workshop targeted at improving the employment seeking skills of Indiana citizens through information literacy. The one-day workshops would be offered at public libraries around the state.

Some Board members questioned whether ALI should be doing this for public libraries. The Board suggested changing the focus of the training from the citizens to training public librarians so they can implement programs in their libraries. The Board suggested that the Committee work with the State Library’s Library Development Office and public libraries to make this a joint effort. Explore requesting an LSTA innovative grant for this project and determine how to sustain this project over the long term. Clark will take these suggestions back to the Committee and return with a revised proposal this summer.

- The other two requests were for funding for the committee members to meet during the summer for a workshop and a planning day. It was noted that the Committee does not need pre-approval for committee meeting expenses. There is $6,350 budgeted for meal expenses for up to four ALI standing committee meetings per year.

The ILC Committee is working on a panel discussion for ACRL 2013 and is exploring obtaining a domain name for HAIL. Leonard from PALNI and Bowell pointed out that Deamhost and Google have free or low-cost domain name services. They will work with the ILC to determine the best domain name service for their needs.

**Resource Advisory Committee (George Stachokas, Chair)**

Stachokas reported successful offers from Taylor & Francis for journal subject collections and Gale electronic back volumes for ebook series. He is also currently negotiating group discounts for AAAS Science and other titles.

Future offers later in 2012-2013 include Springer, Wiley, Oxford University Press, and possibly Elsevier. The Wiley deal may take a year or so to complete. Stachokas is not optimistic about obtaining a consortial deal with Elsevier.

He is still trying to determine membership interest in the Library and Information Science initiative. LISTA with fulltext (EBSCO) and Taylor & Francis LIS collection are expensive, so the RAC Committee needs to know the will of the group.
The RAC Committee hopes to announce a final offer with Standard and Poors Net Advantage and EBSCO ebook subscription collection in April. Future offers may include Credo Reference, Sage Reference and Rand State Statistics. The Committee is also discussing terms for eBrany Academic Complete and OUP+3. Results of a survey to members showed most members are interested in group discounts for individual titles, packages, subscriptions, and shared collections.

The Committee will be surveying ALI members to determine interest in group discounts for discovery tools, ERMs, link resolvers, and other resources. The Committee will also survey ALI members about interest in electronic backfiles and migrating print collections to electronic format. The Committee believes that it would be advantageous to negotiate deals now while the cost is relatively low.

Bowell reported that he had talked with Stachokas about continuing his role as chair of the RAC another year. Stachokas may only be able to take on this responsibility one more year due to the increasing demands of this job on his time. Board members questioned whether this job can continue as a volunteer position. Stachokas reported that negotiations and assessing new technology consume between 5-20 hours/week. This job goes above and beyond what is normally expected of volunteers. The Board will explore options for assisting with the tasks of the committee. Ideas include hiring a part-time assistant or partnering with a member library to purchase the services of one of its librarians to take on negotiating tasks. The Board thanked Stachokas for his willingness to lead one more year. The Board suggested that a vice-chair be added to the Committee to groom a successor for the chair’s position.

**Resource Sharing Committee (Matthew Shaw, Chair)**

Shaw reported that the third Discovery to Delivery Conference was a success. There were 110 attendees, with good representation and demographics (68 academic, 26 public, 5 special and 1 school). The venue was excellent and the costs to ALI came in under budget ($1700).

The evaluations, completed by 48 attendees (68% academic) indicated that overall people were pleased with the conference. Shaw noted that the keynote was not inspiring and while the Infoexpress presentation was rehearsed, it was interesting and useful to have them there.

The Board thanked the RAC for arranging and implementing another successful conference.

Shaw reported that the Open Access Subcommittee will meet in April and review the Berlin Declaration.

**Update from PALNI (Kirsten Leonard)**

Leonard reported that she is close to completing restructuring efforts. PALNI has created three coordinator positions, 10-hour/week. Two systems persons have been contracted to date. These coordinators will be doing project management, vendor assessment, and advising.

PALNI is also completing its strategic plan process. Advisory groups have been set up to work on this. The idea is to plan 3 to 5 years out with specific tasks to be accomplished each year of the plan while
keeping the larger goals in mind. Transparency is important so an online project management system is recommended so that everyone can see what is being done and eliminate duplication of efforts.

Leonard reported on the OCLC Game Changers Conference. She found the meeting productive. It was the first meeting hosted by OCLC for consortia to discuss consortia needs. OCLC has not focused on consortia needs in the past, but is trying to become more aware of consortia activities and demands for data, project management, research efforts to prove value, Next Gen ILS systems, etc. OCLC is looking at where they need to be in the next 2-3 years. She was pleased that OCLC’s World Share did not dominate the conference and would recommend attending another such meeting.

OCLC is providing workshops on Webscale primarily aimed at public libraries, but Leonard asked if ALI would be interested in promoting or hosting one of these workshops. Bowell will pursue hosting at IUPUI.

**Update on MCLS (Robert Roethemeyer)**

Roethemeyer gave an interim report on the MCLS Membership Survey (the report is located on the MCLS web site). The Survey came out of a planning retreat of the MCLS Board last November, and will be used to help guide decisions for strategic directions and organizational goals.

The Survey consisted of 19 questions, The response rate was 26% (60% Michigan, 40% Indiana). The survey showed that the top three benefits of MCLS are saving on electronic resources, resource sharing, and continuing education. The services members believe that MCLS is uniquely able to provide are cost sharing, resource sharing, and professional development. When asked what services should be expanded the answers were resource sharing, cost saving, and professional development. Services missing from the list are advocacy, consulting services for emerging technology, and project management. Another questions asked what members predict they will need to be successful over the next few years. Some answers were purchasing and managing ebooks (Indiana), implementing a new ILS (Michigan), and developing mobile applications. When asked what would make the respondent get more involved in MCLS the top answer was regional membership meetings and collaboration with other library agencies. Finally respondents were asked what they believe to be the most important challenges facing libraries today. The top answers were technology management, fundraising/development, and content licensing.

OhioLink is starting an initiative for print storage for the state and may look to expand to private libraries. The Board discussed what MCLS could do for ALI. Bowell is interested in collection management services. Could MCLS support obtaining expert independent consultants, such a SCES (Sustaining Collection Services) Consulting2 Group to look at space management, shared storage, and shared management for print collections. The Board discussed the idea of bringing in some experts on SCES and having a one-day conversation to hear the issues, obtain information and determine if there is enough interest in pursuing this. Lewis agreed that this conversation is important and necessary to engender a change in our thinking about collection management. Bowell will work on calling such a meeting on behalf of ALI.
Hafner asked if MCLS is alive and well in Indiana? The driving force for Roethemeyer and Fore to be on the MCLS board was to pursue resource sharing, however the major things being done by MCLS in this area are already being done in Indiana by the Indiana State Library or ALI. While the Indiana State Library Resource Sharing Committee Conference is a good forum for shared discussion, it is felt by many, especially public libraries, that decisions are top down with little input. Lewis noted that academic libraries have a stronger position with the State Library because we have one voice and public libraries do not.

The Board suggested forming a group of representatives from ILF, ISL, PALNI, ALI, and other groups to meet to discuss the needs of Indiana libraries and share ideas on a regular basis, maybe once/year. Bowell will think about next steps.

Others suggested we use MCLS as a bridge to work with Indiana academic libraries and Michigan academic libraries.

**Annual Meeting Planning Group Report (John Lamborn)**

The theme of the meeting is *Redefining Academic Libraries: Managing the Migration to Digital Information Services* based on a report of the same name produced by the University Leadership Council of the Education Advisory Board in 2011. Prior to the meeting attendees will be encouraged to read the report. A panel consisting of David Lewis, Dan Bowell, Brenda Johnson, and David Peter will begin the discussion. Lewis will be the moderator. There will be two concurrent sessions in the morning, repeated in the afternoon, centered around the four areas of the report: leveraging digital collections, rethinking the scholarly publishing model, repurposing library space, and reallocating library staff. Lewis asked that we have a little bit longer for the keynote. He suggested having three breakout sessions instead of four or maybe even two.

Lamborn and Hafner will take care of the hospitality packets, name tags, etc., and Persyn will take care lunch and registration. Lamborn will take care of the poster session. An evaluation will be done after the meeting via survey monkey.

Bowell will ask attendees before the meeting to send bio information: position title and key issue in their library. If he does not get enough responses he will not put a directory together for this year.

**Nominating Committee Report (Susan Clark):** Clark presented the slate for the 2012-2013 ALI Board of Directors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President:</td>
<td>Dan Bowell, Taylor University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice President:</td>
<td>Susan Clark, IVY Tech Community College, East Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary:</td>
<td>Cheryl Truesdell, IPFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer:</td>
<td>David Peter, Vincennes University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members-at-Large:</td>
<td>Rhonda Armstrong, IU Kokomo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Vote for one)</td>
<td>Vicki Bloom, IU South Bend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anita Gray, Huntington University</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Members-at-large can be nominated from the floor, but officers may not.

**By-Laws Sub-committee Report (Janet Fore):** Fribley, Saner, and Fore went through the bylaws word-by-word. They discovered that no significant bylaw changes were made in 2007. Fribley summarized the recommended changes. Primarily the group tried to tighten up the language, correct errors, and eliminate reference to specific persons or institutions. Changes to the bylaws need to be presented to the members 30 days before the meeting. It was decided that this year’s annual meeting is so full that there is no time on the agenda to discuss the bylaws changes. The bylaws will be sent out via email and put up on the Website. Members will be asked to vote electronically on the changes after the annual meeting.

**Proposal for ALI Support of ACRL 2013 (Jim Mullins):** Board members suggested that we ask for a discussion of this expenditure at the annual meeting. The Board is not ready to act on this motion at this time. The consensus of the group is to make a modest sponsorship contribution (1,000-2,500) to ACRL and allocate funds for grants/scholarships for academic librarians to attend the conference. Lewis will include this as one recommendation for use of the ALI reserve fund.

**Charge to the Strategic Plan Task Force (Dan Bowell):** Bowell presented the charge to the Strategic Plan Task Force. Lewis moved and Peter seconded a motion to accept the charge with minor revisions. The motion was passed unanimously. Robert Roethemeyer volunteered to be one of four non-board members on the task force.

Bowell introduced an email from ILF seeking support for the Henryville school district whose elementary school library was destroyed by a tornado. ILF has created a disaster relief fund and would like ALI to announce it to ALI members. Bowell will put out an informational message to ALI members.

Bowell submitted a draft of the President’s Report on the year’s accomplishments. He asked for a one-page report from ALI standing committees as well. Bowell thanked Persyn for her long service on the Board. There will a recognition of her service to ALI at the annual meeting in May.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:35 pm